

Curriculum Intent

We ce cover the AQA syllabus (7172) available here

Courses based on this specification should encourage students to:

- understand the ways in which philosophers have analysed the core concepts of philosophy, and be able to identify how subtle differences in analyses can have wider impacts on philosophical arguments
- understand the main philosophical arguments within topics, through the works of philosophers, and articulate those arguments in appropriate forms, correctly, clearly and precisely
- understand the philosophical claims which are made within each topic and be able to articulate those claims correctly, clearly and precisely. Students must also articulate how those claims might relate to other topic areas
- understand the ways in which philosophical arguments are developed, issues are raised, and arguments are reformulated in response to those issues
- understand the similarities and differences between the forms of reasoning used in different philosophical content areas, including the similarities and differences between different kinds of knowledge
- generate responses using appropriate philosophical formats, to a range of philosophical questions. These responses must include: articulating definitions; articulating arguments and counter-arguments; and selecting, applying and evaluating appropriate material to generate their own arguments.

Each year there are two topics. These are taught simultaneously, one by each teacher.

Yr12 (KS5)	Topic Area	Knowledge/Skills that are taught	Knowledge/Skills revisited	What does good look like?	Resources/s upport at home
	Epistemology	What is knowledge? The distinction between acquaintance knowledge, ability knowledge and propositional knowledge.	Recognising Inductive & Deductive arguments. How to construct an argument	The exams will measure how students have achieved the following assessment objectives.	Ensure students are accessing the textbook



	The nature of definition (including Linda Zagzebski) and how propositional			Discuss
	knowledge may be analysed/defined.	How to criticise an	AO1: Demonstrate	issues
		argument	knowledge and	
	The tripartite view - Propositional knowledge is defined as justified true belief:		understanding of the	Ensure
	S knows that p if and only if: S is justified in believing that p, p is true and S	Students will	core concepts and	students are
	believes that p (individually necessary and jointly sufficient conditions).	demonstrate	methods of	aware of
	γ γ	knowledge and	philosophy, including	where to find
	Issues with the tripartite view including:	understanding of the	through the use of	support
	the conditions are not individually necessary	core concepts and	philosophical	materials -
	the conditions are not sufficient – cases of lucky true beliefs (including Edmund	methods of philosophy,	analysis.	Google
	Gettier's original two counter examples):	including through the	,	Classroom, &
		use of philosophical	AO2: Analyse and	AQA website
	Responses:	analysis.	evaluate	
	alternative post-Gettier analyses/definitions of knowledge including:		philosophical	
	strengthen the justification condition (ie infallibilism)	They will also be able	arguments to form	
	add a 'no false lemmas' condition (J+T+B+N)	to analyse and evaluate	reasoned	
	replace 'justified' with 'reliably formed' (R+T+B) (ie reliabilism)	philosophical	judgements.	
	replace 'justified' with an account of epistemic virtue (V+T+B).	arguments to form		
		reasoned judgements.	Students will be able	
	Perception as a source of knowledge		to answer any of the	
			4 different types of	
	Direct realism - The immediate objects of perception are mind-independent		exam question (3, 5,	
	objects and their properties		12 and 25 marks) for	
			any topic	
	Issues including:			
	the argument from illusion			
	the argument from perceptual variation			
	the argument from hallucination			
	the time-lag argument			
	and responses to these issues.			



Reason as a source of knowledge

Philosophy Curriculum Map 2021-22	
Indirect realism - The immediate objects of perception are mind-dependent	
objects (sense-data) that are caused by and represent mind-independent	
objects.	
John Locke's primary/secondary quality distinction.	
Issues including:	
the argument that it leads to scepticism about the existence of	
mind-independent objects.	
Responses including:	
Locke's argument from the involuntary nature of our experience	
the argument from the coherence of various kinds of experience, as developed	
by Locke and Catharine Trotter Cockburn (attrib)	
Bertrand Russell's response that the external world is the 'best hypothesis'	
the argument from George Berkeley that we cannot know the nature of	
mind-independent objects because mind-dependent ideas cannot be like	
mind-independent objects.	
Berkeley's Idealism - The immediate objects of perception (ie ordinary objects	
such as tables, chairs, etc) are mind-dependent objects.	
Arguments for idealism including Berkeley's attack on the primary/secondary	
quality distinction and his 'Master' argument.	
Issues including:	
arguments from illusion and hallucination	
idealism leads to solipsism	
problems with the role played by God in Berkeley's Idealism (including how can	
Berkeley claim that our ideas exist within God's mind given that he believes	
that God cannot feel pain or have sensations?)	
and responses to these issues.	



Innatism - Arguments from Plato (ie the 'slave boy' argument) and Gottfried Leibniz (ie his argument based on necessary truths).

Empiricist responses including:

Locke's arguments against innatism

the mind as a 'tabula rasa' (the nature of impressions and ideas, simple and complex concepts)

and issues with these responses.

The intuition and deduction thesis - The meaning of 'intuition' and 'deduction' and the distinction between them. René Descartes' notion of 'clear and distinct ideas'. His cogito as an example of an a priori intuition. His arguments for the existence of God and his proof of the external world as examples of a priori deductions.

Empiricist responses including:

responses to Descartes' cogito

responses to Descartes' arguments for the existence of God and his proof of the external world (including how Hume's Fork might be applied to these arguments)

and issues with these responses.

The limits of knowledge

Particular nature of philosophical scepticism and the distinction between philosophical scepticism and normal incredulity.

The role/function of philosophical scepticism within epistemology
The distinction between local and global scepticism and the (possible) global application of philosophical scepticism

Descartes' sceptical arguments (the three 'waves of doubt')

Responses to scepticism: the application of the following as responses to the challenge of scepticism:



	Descartes' own response empiricist responses (Locke, Berkeley and Russell) reliabilism.			
Moral	Normative ethical theories	Recognising Inductive	The exams will	Ensure
Philosophy	The meaning of good, bad, right, wrong within each of the three approaches	& Deductive	measure how	students are
	specified below	arguments.	students have	accessing the
	Similarities and differences across the three approaches specified below		achieved the	textbook
		How to construct an	following assessment	
	Utilitarianism	argument	objectives.	Discuss
				issues
	The question of what is meant by 'utility' and 'maximising utility', including:	How to criticise an	AO1: Demonstrate	F
	Jeremy Bentham's quantitative hedonistic utilitarianism (his utility calculus)	argument	knowledge and	Ensure
	John Stuart Mill's qualitative hedonistic utilitarianism (higher and lower	Ctudontouill	understanding of the	students are
	pleasures) and his 'proof' of the greatest happiness principle	Students will demonstrate	core concepts and methods of	aware of where to find
	non-hedonistic utilitarianism (including preference utilitarianism) act utilitarianism and rule utilitarianism.			
	act utilitarianism and rule utilitarianism.	knowledge and	philosophy, including through the use of	support materials -
	lecture including:	understanding of the	philosophical	
	Issues, including: whether pleasure is the only good (Nozick's experience machine)	core concepts and methods of philosophy,	analysis.	Google Classroom, &
	fairness and individual liberty/rights (including the risk of the 'tyranny of the	including through the	AO2: Analyse and	AQA website
	majority')	use of philosophical	evaluate	AQA Website
	problems with calculation (including which beings to include)	analysis.	philosophical	
	issues around partiality	alialysis.	arguments to form	
	whether utilitarianism ignores both the moral integrity and the intentions of	They will also be able	reasoned	
	the individual.	to analyse and evaluate	judgements.	
	the marriada.	philosophical	Juagements.	
	Kantian Deontological Ethics	arguments to form	Students will be able	
		reasoned judgements.	to answer any of the	
	Immanuel Kant's account of what is meant by a 'good will'.		4 different types of	
	The distinction between acting in accordance with duty and acting out of duty.		exam question (3, 5,	
	The distinction between hypothetical imperatives and categorical imperatives.		12 and 25 marks) for	
			any topic	



The first formulation of the categorical imperative (including the distinction between a contradiction in conception and a contradiction in will). The second formulation of the categorical imperative.

Issues, including:

clashing/competing duties

not all universalisable maxims are distinctly moral; not all non-universalisable maxims are immoral

the view that consequences of actions determine their moral value Kant ignores the value of certain motives, eg love, friendship, kindness morality is a system of hypothetical, rather than categorical, imperatives (Philippa Foot).

Aristotelian virtue ethics

'The good' for human beings: the meaning of Eudaimonia as the 'final end' and the relationship between Eudaimonia and pleasure.

The function argument and the relationship between virtues and function. Aristotle's account of virtues and vices: virtues as character traits/dispositions; the role of education/habituation in the development of a moral character; the skill analogy; the importance of feelings; the doctrine of the mean and its application to particular virtues.

Moral responsibility: voluntary, involuntary and non-voluntary actions. The relationship between virtues, actions and reasons and the role of practical reasoning/practical wisdom.

Issues including:

whether Aristotelian virtue ethics can give sufficiently clear guidance about how to act

clashing/competing virtues

the possibility of circularity involved in defining virtuous acts and virtuous persons in terms of each other



There are no mind-independent moral properties/facts.

Philosophy Curriculum Map 2021-22		
whether a trait must contribute to Eudaimonia in order to be a virtue; the		
relationship between the good for the individual and moral good.		
Applied othics		
Applied ethics		
Students must be able to apply the content of Normative ethical theories and		
meta-ethics to the following issues:		
stealing		
simulated killing (within computer games, plays, films etc)		
eating animals		
telling lies.		
Meta-ethics		
The origins of moral principles: reason, emotion/attitudes, or society.		
The distinction between cognitivism and non-cognitivism about ethical		
language.		
Moral realism - There are mind-independent moral properties/facts.		
Moral naturalism (cognitivist) – including naturalist forms of utilitarianism (including Bentham) and of virtue ethics.		
Moral non-naturalism (cognitivist) – including intuitionism and Moore's 'open		
question argument' against all reductive metaethical theories and the		
Naturalistic Fallacy.		
Issues that may arise for the theories above, including:		
Hume's Fork and A J Ayer's verification principle		
Hume's argument that moral judgements are not beliefs since beliefs alone could not motivate us		
Hume's is-ought gap		
John Mackie's argument from relativity and his arguments from queerness.		
Moral anti-realism		



		Error Theory (cognitivist) - Mackie Emotivism (non-cognitivist) - Ayer Prescriptivism (non-cognitivist) - Richard Hare Issues that may arise for the theories above, including: whether anti-realism can account for how we use moral language, including moral reasoning, persuading, disagreeing etc. the problem of accounting for moral progress whether anti-realism becomes moral nihilism.			
Yr13 (KS5)	Topic Area	Knowledge/Skills that are taught	Knowledge/Skills revisited	What does good look like?	Resources/s upport at home
	Metaphysics of God	The concept and nature of 'God' God's attributes:God as omniscient, omnipotent, supremely good (omnibenevolent), and the meaning(s) of these divine attributes competing views on such a being's relationship to time, including God being timeless (eternal) and God being within time (everlasting).	Recognising Inductive & Deductive arguments. How to construct an argument	The exams will measure how students have achieved the following assessment objectives.	Ensure students are accessing the textbook Discuss
		arguments for the incoherence of the concept of God including: the paradox of the stone the Euthyphro dilemma the compatibility, or otherwise, of the existence of an omniscient God and free human beings.	How to criticise an argument Students will demonstrate knowledge and	AO1: Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the core concepts and methods of philosophy, including	Ensure students are aware of where to find support
		Arguments relating to the existence of God For the arguments below, students should pay particular attention to nuances in the logical form of the arguments (deductive, inductive etc), the strengths of the conclusions (God does exist, God must exist etc) and the nature of God assumed or defended by the argument.	understanding of the core concepts and methods of philosophy, including through the use of philosophical analysis.	through the use of philosophical analysis. AO2: Analyse and evaluate philosophical	materials - Google Classroom, & AQA website



			arguments to form	
	Ontological arguments -	They will also be able	reasoned	
	St Anselm's ontological argument.	to analyse and evaluate	judgements.	
	Descartes' ontological argument.	philosophical	, 0	
	Norman Malcolm's ontological argument.	arguments to form	Students will be able	
	Issues that may arise for the arguments above, including:	reasoned judgements.	to answer any of the	
	Gaunilo's 'perfect island' objection		4 different types of	
	Empiricist objections to a priori arguments for existence		exam question (3, 5,	
	Kant's objection based on existence not being a predicate.		12 and 25 marks) for	
			any topic	
	Teleological/design arguments -			
	The design argument from analogy (as presented by Hume).			
	William Paley's design argument: argument from spatial order/purpose.			
	Richard Swinburne's design argument: argument from temporal			
	order/regularity.			
	Issues that may arise for the arguments above, including:			
	Hume's objections to the design argument from analogy			
	the problem of spatial disorder (as posed by Hume and Paley)			
	the design argument fails as it is an argument from a unique case (Hume)			
	whether God is the best or only explanation.			
	Cosmological arguments -			
	The Kalām argument (an argument from temporal causation).			
	Aquinas' 1st Way (argument from motion), 2nd Way (argument from atemporal			
	causation) and 3rd way (an argument from contingency).			
	Descartes' argument based on his continuing existence (an argument from			
	causation).			
	Leibniz's argument from the principle of sufficient reason (an argument from			
	contingency).			
	Issues that may arise for the arguments above, including:			
	the possibility of an infinite series			
	Hume's objection to the 'causal principle'			
	the argument commits the fallacy of composition (Russell)			



	the impossibility of a necessary being (Hume and Russell).			
	The Problem of Evil			
	Whether God's attributes can be reconciled with the existence of evil. The nature of moral evil and natural evil. The logical and evidential forms of the problem of evil. Responses to these issues and issues arising from these responses, including: the Free Will Defence (including Alvin Plantinga) soul-making (including John Hick).			
	Religious language			
	The distinction between cognitivism and non-cognitivism about religious language. The empiricist/logical positivist challenges to the status of metaphysical (here, religious) language: the verification principle and verification/falsification (Ayer). Hick's response to Ayer (eschatological verification) and issues arising from that response. Further responses: the 'University Debate' Anthony Flew on falsification (Wisdom's 'Gardener') Basil Mitchell's response to Flew (the Partisan) Hare's response to Flew (bliks and the lunatic) and issues arising from those responses.			
etaphysics Mind	What do we mean by 'mind'? Features of mental states: All or at least some mental states have phenomenal properties Some, but not all, philosophers use the term 'qualia' to refer to these properties, where 'qualia' are defined as 'intrinsic and non-intentional	Recognising Inductive & Deductive arguments. How to construct an argument	The exams will measure how students have achieved the following assessment objectives.	Ensure students are accessing the textbook Discuss
	phenomenal properties that are introspectively accessible'			issues



		11. 1	101 5	
	All or at least some mental states have intentional properties (ie intentionality).	How to criticise an	AO1: Demonstrate	_
		argument	knowledge and	Ensure
	Substance dualism		understanding of the	students are
		Students will	core concepts and	aware of
	Minds exist and are not identical to bodies or to parts of bodies.	demonstrate	methods of	where to find
		knowledge and	philosophy, including	support
	The indivisibility argument for substance dualism (Descartes).	understanding of the	through the use of	materials -
	Responses, including:	core concepts and	philosophical	Google
	the mental is divisible in some sense	methods of philosophy,	analysis.	Classroom, &
	not everything thought of as physical is divisible.	including through the		AQA website
	The conceivability argument for substance dualism (expressed without	use of philosophical	AO2: Analyse and	
	reference to God) (Descartes).	analysis.	evaluate	
	Responses including:	·	philosophical	
	mind without body is not conceivable	They will also be able	arguments to form	
	what is conceivable may not be metaphysically possible	to analyse and evaluate	reasoned	
	what is metaphysically possible tells us nothing about the actual world.	philosophical	judgements.	
		arguments to form		
	Issues facing substance dualism, including:	reasoned judgements.	Students will be able	
	The problem of other minds		to answer any of the	
	Responses including:		4 different types of	
	the argument from analogy		exam question (3, 5,	
	the existence of other minds is the best hypothesis.		12 and 25 marks) for	
	Dualism makes a "category mistake" (Gilbert Ryle)		any topic	
	the conceptual interaction problem (as articulated by Elisabeth, Princess of		, , , , ,	
	Bohemia)			
	the empirical interaction problem.			
	Physicalist theories			
	Physicalism			
	,			
	Everything is physical or supervenes upon the physical (this includes properties,			
	events, objects and any substance(s) that exist).			
	events, objects and any substance(s) that existy.			



Philosophical haboria wiere		
Philosophical behaviourism		
'Hard' behaviourism: all propositions about mental states can be reduced without loss of meaning to propositions that exclusively use the language of physics to talk about bodily states/movements (including Carl Hempel).		
'Soft' behaviourism: propositions about mental states are propositions about behavioural dispositions (ie propositions that use ordinary language) (including Gilbert Ryle).		
Issues including: dualist arguments applied to philosophical behaviourism the distinctness of mental states from behaviour (including Hilary Putnam's 'Super-Spartans' and perfect actors) issues defining mental states satisfactorily due to (a) circularity and (b) the multiple realisability of mental states in behaviour the asymmetry between self-knowledge and knowledge of other people's mental states.		
Mind-brain type identity theory		
All mental states are identical to brain states ('ontological' reduction) although 'mental state' and 'brain state' are not synonymous (so not an 'analytic' reduction).		
Issues including: dualist arguments applied to mind-brain type identity theory issues with providing the type identities (the multiple realisability of mental states).		
Eliminative materialism		



Some or all common-sense ("folk-psychological") mental states/properties do not exist and our common-sense understanding is radically mistaken (as defended by Patricia Churchland and Paul Churchland).

Issues including:

our certainty about the existence of our mental states takes priority over other considerations

folk-psychology has good predictive and explanatory power (and so is the best hypothesis)

the articulation of eliminative materialism as a theory is self-refuting.

Functionalism

All mental states can be characterised in terms of functional roles which can be multiply realised.

Issues, including:

the possibility of a functional duplicate with different qualia (inverted qualia) the possibility of a functional duplicate with no mentality/qualia (Ned Block's China thought experiment)

the 'knowledge'/Mary argument can be applied to functional facts (no amount of facts about function suffices to explain qualia).

Property dualism

There are at least some mental properties that are neither reducible to nor supervenient upon physical properties.

The 'philosophical zombies' argument for property dualism (David Chalmers). Responses including:

a 'philosophical zombie'/a 'zombie' world is not conceivable what is conceivable may not be metaphysically possible what is metaphysically possible tells us nothing about the actual world.



	The 'knowledge/Mary' argument for property dualism (Frank Jackson). Responses including: Mary does not gain new propositional knowledge but does gain ability knowledge (the 'ability knowledge' response).		
	Mary does not gain new propositional knowledge but does gain acquaintance knowledge (the 'acquaintance knowledge' response). Mary gains new propositional knowledge, but this is knowledge of physical facts that she already knew in a different way (the 'New Knowledge / Old Fact' response).		
	Issues facing property dualism, including: the challenge posed by introspective self-knowledge the challenge posed by the phenomenology of our mental life (ie as involving causal connections, both psychological and psycho-physical) the challenge posed by natural selection/evolution. Physicalist theories		